

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
AMARILLO DIVISION

ANTHONY D. WILLIAMS, JR.,  
No. 2311190,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRITTANY S. MILLER,

Defendant.

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

2:21-CV-127-Z-BR

**FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS**

Plaintiff, Anthony D. Williams, Jr., filed a complaint naming Brittany S. Miller as Defendant. By order signed April 3, 2023, the Court determined that no other conclusion could be reached but that the action presented a challenge to a disciplinary proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The order required Williams to file by April 24, 2023, an amended motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* and an updated certificate of inmate trust account and to complete the proper forms to pursue relief under § 2254. The order cautioned that failure to comply might lead to the dismissal of Plaintiff's claims without further notice. To date, Plaintiff has failed to comply with the order or communicate with the Court in any manner.

**RECOMMENDATION**

For the reasons discussed herein, it is the RECOMMENDATION of the United States Magistrate Judge to the United States District Judge that the claims of Plaintiff, Anthony D. Williams, Jr., be DISMISSED.

**INSTRUCTIONS FOR SERVICE**

The United States District Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Findings, Conclusion and Recommendation to each party by the most efficient means available.

IT IS SO RECOMMENDED.

ENTERED on May 10, 2023.

  
LEE ANN RENO  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

**\* NOTICE OF RIGHT TO OBJECT \***

Any party may object to these proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation. In the event parties wish to object, they are hereby NOTIFIED that the deadline for filing objections is fourteen (14) days from the date of filing as indicated by the “entered” date directly above the signature line. Service is complete upon mailing, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(C), or transmission by electronic means, Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E). **Any objections must be filed on or before the fourteenth (14th) day after this recommendation is filed** as indicated by the “entered” date. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2); *see also* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(d).

Any such objections shall be made in a written pleading entitled “Objections to the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation.” Objecting parties shall file the written objections with the United States District Clerk and serve a copy of such objections on all other parties. A party’s failure to timely file written objections shall bar an aggrieved party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking on appeal the unobjected-to proposed factual findings, legal conclusions, and recommendation set forth by the Magistrate Judge and accepted by the district court. *See Douglass v. United Services Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1428-29 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), *superseded by statute on other grounds*, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), *as recognized in ACS Recovery Servs., Inc. v. Griffin*, 676 F.3d 512, 521 n.5 (5th Cir. 2012); *Rodriguez v. Bowen*, 857 F.2d 275, 276-77 (5th Cir. 1988).